

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/688,328	10/17/2003	Michael J. Skarlupka	H0005944	7956
7590 10/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Attorney, Intellectual Property			KLAUS, LISA NHUNG	
Honeywell International, Inc. 101 Columbia Rd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 2245 Morristown, NJ 07962			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/688,328	SKARLUPKA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lisa N. Klaus	2832			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re oly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4, 11-14, 19 snd 20</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 15-18</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> is/ard Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	e: a) accepted or b) ot e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureaction for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apority documents have been Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/17/03</u> .)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 4, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

2. The term "can be" in claims 3, 13, is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "can be" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 11-13 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daigle (US 4,418,252).

Daigle discloses a key switch 15 comprising:

- an enclosure 16 having a plurality of walls 21, 22, 30 and 31 from which a cavity and at least one slot 41 are formed;
- a plunger 18 comprising at least one key portion 61 and 62, wherein the plunger 18 is partially located and maintained within the cavity of the enclosure 16;

Application/Control Number: 10/688,328

Art Unit: 2832

Page 3

- at least one slot 41 formed from at least one wall 22 of the enclosure 16 for engaging

the at least one key portion 61 and 62 of the plunger 18;

- a plunger 18 comprises a shape in a form of a shaft;

- at least one wall 21 of the plurality of walls 21, 30 and 31 comprises an opening 78

which can be covered by a removable mating cover 21;

- the plunger 18 comprises an undercut portion 81;

- engaging the undercut portion 81 of the plunger 18 with a protruding portion 25 of

the cover 21 which surrounds the undercut portion of the plunger to maintain the plunger

within the enclosure 16;

- wherein the protruding portion of the plunger extends from the cover in a direction

perpendicular to the cover.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4 and 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Claims 5-7 and 15-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Claims 8-10 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The Prior Art does not teach or suggest the switch enclosure comprising:

Claims 4 and 14: a protruding portion configured from the mating cover for engaging

the slot;

Claims 5 and 15: a busing 62 and 63 formed from the wall of the enclosure 16,

wherein the busing 62 and 63 surrounds the plunger when the plunger is placed into the cavity of the enclosure through an opening of the enclosure;

Claim 6: a first slot and second slot, wherein the second slot is positioned at an angle to the first slot, such that one key portion is aligned initially with the first slot and the plunger is thereafter inserted through the bushing, followed by a rotation of the plunger until the key portion is aligned with the second slot, thereby providing a surface to which the plunger stops against;

Claims 8: a plunger having an undercut portion, wherein the plunger is formed in the shape of the shaft and is partially located and maintained within the cavity of the enclosure; a removable mating cover for engaging the enclosure at an opening formed from at least one side wall of the plurality of walls, the cover comprises a protruding portion which surrounds and engages the plunger at the undercut portion, thereby providing a stop for the plunger to prevent the plunger from disengaging from the enclosure.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lisa Nhung Klaus 7. whose telephone number is (571)272-1993, and whose fax number is 703-872-9306. In the event that I am not reached, you can contact my supervisor, Mr. Elvin G. Enad at (571)272-1990 or the tech center receptionist at (703) 308-1782.

Lisa Nhung Klaus

Patent Examiner - Art Unit 2832

September 23, 2004